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Sample Asset
Example Asset Manager

Participation & GRESB Score

Peer Comparison

GRESB Score

1st Transportation / Europe out of 12

Management & Policy

Implementation & Measurement

Rankings

1st Europe out of 22

2nd Transportation / Europe out of 12

7th All Infrastructure Assets out of 134

This Entity

Peer Group Average

Peer Group

GRESB Average

GRESB Universe

Europe

North America

Oceania

Globally Diversified
## Aspects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect</th>
<th>Weight in GRESB Score</th>
<th>This Entity</th>
<th>Peer Group</th>
<th>GRESB</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Management</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>Peer 40</td>
<td>GRESB 42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Policy &amp; Disclosure</td>
<td>14%</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>Peer 48</td>
<td>GRESB 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Risks &amp; Opportunities</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>Peer 33</td>
<td>GRESB 31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Implementation</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Peer 50</td>
<td>GRESB 43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring &amp; EMS</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>Peer 52</td>
<td>GRESB 39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder Engagement</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>Peer 37</td>
<td>GRESB 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Performance Indicators</td>
<td>30%</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>Peer 18</td>
<td>GRESB 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certifications &amp; Awards</td>
<td>7.5%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Peer 2</td>
<td>GRESB 9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This Entity
Benchmark Geography: Europe
Benchmark Sector: Transportation

Peer Group (6 entities)
Benchmark Geography: Europe
Benchmark Sector: Transportation

Countries
- [100%] Europe

Peer Group Countries
- [100%] Europe

Sectors
- [100%] Transportation: Railroad

Peer Group Sectors
- [50%] Transportation: Toll Road Operations
  - [17%] Transportation: Railroad
  - [17%] Transportation: Ports
  - [17%] Transportation: Airport

Additional context
Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB's assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund's actual Report.

MA1 POINTS: 2.8/2.8

ESG issues in long-term strategic plan(s)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ESG Issue</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Elements addressed in the entity’s long-term strategic plan(s)

- Environmental performance: 100%
- Social performance: 80%
- Governance performance: 80%

Evidence provided

Percentage of Peers

- [80%] No
- [20%] Yes

Additional context
Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB's assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund's actual Report.
MA2  POINTS: 2/2.8
Senior decision-maker accountable for ESG issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>100%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Name: Harold
Job title: Sustainability
LinkedIn profile (optional):

This senior decision maker is part of the

- Board of Directors [20%]
- Senior Management Team [80%]
- Other [0%]

Evidence provided

Percentage of Peers

- [80%] No [80%]
- [20%] Yes [ACCEPTED]

No [0%]

Evidence provided

Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB's assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund's actual Report.
### ESG performance targets for senior leadership

#### Senior leadership has targets for:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental performance</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social performance</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance performance</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Does performance on these targets have pre-determined consequences?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Consequence</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Positive consequences for meeting or exceeding ESG targets</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative consequences for not achieving ESG targets</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative recognition</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of financial compensation</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Additional support, resources, or training</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other consequences</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evidence provided**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence provided</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[100%] No</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[50%] Yes</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional context**

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.
### Categories of ESG-related training provided to different categories of workers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Employees</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Environmental</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Social</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Governance</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Other</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contractors</strong></td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Environmental</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Social</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Governance</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Other</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Other</strong></td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Evidence provided

- **[60%] Yes**  
  - Evidence provided  
  - [ACCEPTED]

- **[40%] No**

### Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB's assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund's actual Report.
**Policy & Disclosure**

**PD1**  
**POINTS:** 1.8/1.8  
**WEIGHT:** 14%

### Policy or policies on environmental issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific issues included in the entity’s policy or policies</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Air pollutants</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biodiversity and habitat protection</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Greenhouse gas emissions</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invasive species</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience to catastrophe/disaster</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resilience (adaptation) to climate change</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other issues</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Material and Construction Life Cycle Impacts, Vehicle Miles Traveled**: [ACCEPTED]

### Policy or policies apply to

- **Entity**: 100%
- **Contractors**: 100%

### Contractors Covered by Entity ESG Policies

- [83%] ≥75%, ≤100%
- [17%] ≥25%, <50%

### Evidence provided

- **Percentage of Peers**: [60%] Yes
  - Evidence provided: [ACCEPTED]
  - [40%] No

### Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.
### Policy or policies on social issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Specific issues included in the entity’s policy or policies</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Child labor</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community relations</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Customer satisfaction</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data protection and privacy</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employee engagement</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced or compulsory labor</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender and diversity</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and safety: employees</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and safety: customers</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and safety: community</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and safety: supply chain</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor standards and working conditions</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrimination</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other issues</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental Justice</td>
<td>[ACCEPTED]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Policy or policies apply to

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Policy or policies apply to</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entity</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractors</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Contractors Covered by Entity ESG Policies

- [67%] (no answer provided)
- [17%] ≥50%, ≤75%
- [17%] ≥75, ≤100%

### Evidence provided

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence provided</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here.
Policy or policies on governance issues

Specific issues included in the entities governance policy or policies:

- Audit committee structure/independence: Yes, 100% of Peers.
- Board composition: Yes, 80% of Peers.
- Bribery and corruption: Yes, 80% of Peers.
- Compensation committee structure/independence: Yes, 80% of Peers.
- Executive compensation: Yes, 20% of Peers.
- Fraud: Yes, 80% of Peers.
- Fiduciary duty: Yes, 80% of Peers.
- Independence of Board chair: Yes, 60% of Peers.
- Lobbying activities: Yes, 80% of Peers.
- One share/one vote: No, 0% of Peers.
- Political contributions: No, 0% of Peers.
- Whistleblower protection: No, 0% of Peers.
- Other: Yes, 20% of Peers.

Evidence provided

Percentage of Peers

- [100%] No
- [0%] Yes

Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here.
### Stakeholder grievances process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yes</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Describe the elements of the process (select all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anonymous communications</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24/7 availability (e.g., phone, email, post)</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clear prohibition against retaliation</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fair and independent review</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Describe the use of the process during the reporting period (select all that apply)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Use</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of grievances communicated</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary of types of grievances</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summary of types of resolutions for grievances</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Evidence provided

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence provided</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[60%] Yes</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[40%] No</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.
Third-party review of ESG reporting and/or data

Select the most stringent level of review in each area

- **ESG reporting**
  - Yes: 100%
  - [ ] Internal audit: 80%
  - [ ] Externally checked by: 0%
    - Service Provider Name: [ACCEPTED]
  - [ ] Externally verified by: 0%
  - [ ] Externally assured by: 20%

- **Environmental data**
  - Yes: 100%
  - [ ] Internal audit: 80%
  - [ ] Externally checked by: 0%
    - Service Provider Name: [ACCEPTED]
  - [ ] Externally verified by: 0%
  - [ ] Externally assured by: 20%

- **Social data**
  - 60%

- **Governance data**
  - 60%

- **Information submitted in this assessment (GRESB Infrastructure)**
  - Yes: 0%
  - [ ] Internal audit: 0%
  - [ ] Externally checked by: 0%
    - Carbon Trust, Asahi Facilities Inc.: [ACCEPTED]
  - [ ] Externally verified by: 0%
  - [ ] Externally assured by: 0%

- **Other ESG information**
  - 60%

Evidence provided

- [60%] Yes
  - Evidence provided
- [40%] No

Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here.
### Impact assessment during the last 3 years

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Types of assessments</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental impact statement/report/assessment</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health Impact Assessment</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social Impact Assessment</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community needs assessment</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other technical assessment</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology, Soils, and Seismicity; Cultural and Paleontological Resources; Aesthetic and Visual Quality</td>
<td>[ACCEPTED]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evidence provided**

#### Percentage of Peers

- [60%] No
- [40%] (no answer provided)
- [0%] Yes

**Additional context**

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.
Communication of ESG activities

Communication strategy:

- Yes
  - Percentage of Peers: 80%

- No communications
  - ESG Policy/Policies
  - ESG Targets
  - ESG Actions
  - ESG Performance
  - Percentage of Peers: 0%

- Website
  - ESG Policy/Policies
  - ESG Targets
  - ESG Actions
  - ESG Performance
  - Percentage of Peers: 20%

- Section of annual report
  - ESG Policy/Policies
  - ESG Targets
  - ESG Actions
  - ESG Performance
  - Percentage of Peers: 20%

- Integrated report
  - ESG Policy/Policies
  - ESG Targets
  - ESG Actions
  - ESG Performance
  - Percentage of Peers: 0%

- Sustainability report
  - ESG Policy/Policies
  - ESG Targets
  - ESG Actions
  - ESG Performance
  - Percentage of Peers: 20%

- Other
  - 2016 Business Plan
    - ESG Policy/Policies
    - ESG Targets
    - ESG Actions
    - ESG Performance
    - Percentage of Peers: 80%

Evidence provided

- [80%] Yes
  - Evidence provided

- [20%] (no answer provided)

Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here.
Process for communication of ESG-related misconduct or penalties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>60%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Elements of the entity’s communications process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>60%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Entity informs investors about misconduct or penalties</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entity informs the public about misconduct or penalties</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Entity informs other stakeholders about misconduct or penalties</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No communications</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Application of the communication process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>60%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The entity has used one or more of these communication processes during the reporting period</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The entity did not use any of these communication processes during the reporting period</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Evidence provided

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>60%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[60%] Yes</td>
<td>Evidence provided</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[40%] (no answer provided)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.
### Assess and mitigate risks associated with environmental issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issues addressed</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Yes</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Air pollutants</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Included in Risk Assessment</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Addressed in Mitigation Plan(s)</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Mitigation Actions Implemented</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Biodiversity and habitat protection</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Included in Risk Assessment</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Addressed in Mitigation Plan(s)</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Mitigation Actions Implemented</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Energy</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Included in Risk Assessment</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Addressed in Mitigation Plan(s)</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Mitigation Actions Implemented</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Greenhouse gas emissions</strong></td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Included in Risk Assessment</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Addressed in Mitigation Plan(s)</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Mitigation Actions Implemented</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Invasive species</strong></td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Included in Risk Assessment</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Addressed in Mitigation Plan(s)</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Mitigation Actions Implemented</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resilience to catastrophe/disaster</strong></td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Included in Risk Assessment</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Addressed in Mitigation Plan(s)</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Mitigation Actions Implemented</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resilience to climate change</strong></td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Included in Risk Assessment</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Addressed in Mitigation Plan(s)</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Assess and mitigate risks associated with social issues

Percentage of Peers

- **Yes** [60%]
- **No** [40%]

Evidence provided

- [60%] Yes
- [40%] No

Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data protection and privacy</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced labor</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender and diversity</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and safety: employees</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and safety: customers</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Health and safety: community</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supply chain health and safety</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labor standards/working conditions</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrimination</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.

### RO3 POINTS: 1.4/3.3

#### Assess and mitigate risks associated with governance issues

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Audit committee structure/independence</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board composition</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bribery and corruption</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation committee structure/independence</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Included in Risk Assessment</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addressed in Mitigation Plan</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitigation Actions Implemented</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive compensation</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Included in Risk Assessment</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Addressed in Mitigation Plan</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mitigation Actions Implemented</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraud</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence of Board chair</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobbying activities</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Assess and mitigate risks associated with governance issues

### Issues addressed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit committee structure/independence</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board composition</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bribery and corruption</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compensation committee structure/independence</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Executive compensation</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraud</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Independence of Board chair</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobbying activities</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One share/one vote</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Political contributions</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Whistleblower protection</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Legal</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evidence provided</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Evidence provided

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Evidence provided</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>[100%] No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[0%] Yes</td>
<td>[ACCEPTED]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here.
### Implementation

**IM1**  
**POINTS: 7/7.5**

**Examples of ESG management and performance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>20%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional context**

[Not provided]

---

### Monitoring & EMS

**ME1**  
**POINTS: 3.2/5**

**Environmental Management System**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Describe the EMS**

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.

**Aligned with third-party standard**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ISO 14001</td>
<td>80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BS7750</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU Eco-Management and Audit Scheme</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Verified or certified by a third-party**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Evidence provided**

**Percentage of Peers**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[60%] Yes</td>
<td>[ACCEPTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[40%] No</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional context**

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.
### Collection of ESG performance data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes 80%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Type of ESG data collected by the entity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Environmental performance data 80%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social performance data 20%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Governance performance data 0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other data 0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Evidence provided

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[60%] Yes Evidence provided [ACCEPTED]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20%] No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[20%] (no answer provided)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB's assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.
### SE1 Points: 5/5

**Stakeholder engagement program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Elements included**

- Planning and preparation for engagement: 100%
- Implementation of engagement plan: 100%
- Program review and evaluation: 100%

**Aligned with third-party standards and/or guidance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>0%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
- AA1000 Stakeholder Engagement standard: 0%
- International Association for Public Participation spectrum, Australasia: 0%
- UNEP Stakeholder Engagement Manual: 0%
- Other: 0%

**Evidence provided**

- [50%] Yes
- [40%] No

**Additional context**

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.

### SE2 Points: 4.8/5

**Actions taken to implement stakeholder engagement program**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option</th>
<th>Percentage of Peers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>40%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Additional context**

[Not provided]
**Performance Indicators**

**POINTS: 17/30**

**WEIGHT: 30%**

## Output

### PI1 Not scored

#### Measures of output

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PI 1 (continued)</td>
<td>persons</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>12000</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>200001</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Types of information included in evidence

- **Methods and calculations underlying output metrics**
  - [80%] Yes

- **Interpretation of output metrics and targets**
  - [80%] Yes

- **Other**
  - [0%] No

#### Evidence provided

**Percentage of Peers**

- **[80%] Yes**
  - Evidence provided
  - [ACCEPTED]

- **[20%] No**

#### Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB's assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.
### Health & Safety

#### PI2: Health and safety performance

**Percentage of Peers**
- **Yes**: 80%

#### Employees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2018</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fatalities</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reportable injuries</td>
<td>Number</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Types of information included in evidence

- **Methods and calculations underlying output metrics**: 0%
- **Interpretation of output metrics and targets**: 0%
- **Other**: 0%

#### Evidence provided

**Percentage of Peers**
- [60%] Yes
- [20%] No
- [20%] (no answer provided)

#### Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.

### Energy & Emissions

#### PI3: Energy generation and purchase

**Percentage of Peers**
- **Yes**: 60%
- **No**: 40%

#### Additional context

[Not provided]

#### PI4: Greenhouse gas emissions

**Percentage of Peers**
- **Yes**: 0%
- **No**: 100%

#### Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here.
PI5  POINTS: 0/4.3

Air pollutant emissions

- Yes 0%
- No 100%

Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.

PI6  POINTS: 2.1/4.3

Water use

- Yes 60%

Withdrawals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indicator</th>
<th>Units</th>
<th>Baseline</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Water Supply</td>
<td>US gal</td>
<td>180000</td>
<td>190000</td>
<td>200000</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Types of information included in evidence

- Methods and calculations underlying output metrics 0%
- Interpretation of output metrics and targets 0%
- Other 0%
  - Water Estimation Methodology; Water Records [ACCEPTED]

Evidence provided

- [40%] Yes
- [40%] No
- [20%] (no answer provided)

Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.
### Waste generation and disposal

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points: 0/4.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Peers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional context

[Not provided]

### Biodiversity and habitat

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points: 0/4.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Peers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional context

[Not provided]

### Entity level certifications for ESG-related management and/or performance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Points: 0/3.8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of Peers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not
### CA2
**Project level certifications for ESG-related management and/or performance**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.

### CA3
**Awards for ESG-related actions, performance, or achievements**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Additional context

[Not provided]

### CA4
**ESG case studies, research or similar publications**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes</th>
<th>No</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0%</td>
<td>100%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Additional context

Text provided by respondent will be displayed here. The purpose of this sample report is to demonstrate the appearance and format of GRESB’s assessment. To protect data confidentiality, the sample contains randomised data and does not include any real data submitted in the 2016 GRESB Survey. As a result, displayed data may contain inconsistencies which will not appear in a company or fund’s actual Report.